arXiv:1407.0364v2 [math.PR] 24 Feb 2015

PERSISTENCE EXPONENT FOR RANDOM PROCESSES IN BROWNIAN SCENERY

FABIENNE CASTELL, NADINE GUILLOTIN-PLANTARD, AND FRÉDÉRIQUE WATBLED

Abstract. In this paper we consider the persistence properties of random processes in Brownian scenery, which are examples of non-Markovian and non-Gaussian processes. More precisely we study the asymptotic behaviour for large T, of the probability $\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Delta_t \leq 1]$ where $\Delta_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x)\, dW(x)$. Here $W = \{W(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a two-sided standard real Brownian motion and $\{L_t(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$ is the local time of some self-similar random process Y, independent from the process W. We thus generalize the results of [7] where the increments of Y were assumed to be independent.

1. Introduction

Let $W = \{W(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a standard two-sided real Brownian motion and $Y = \{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a real-valued self-similar process of index $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ (i.e. for any $c > 0$, $\{Y(ct); t \geq 0\} \overset{(d)}{=} \{c^\gamma Y(t); t \geq 0\}$), with stationary increments. When it exists, we will denote by $\{L_t(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$ a version of the local time of the process $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$. The process L satisfies the occupation density formula: for each bounded measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t f(Y(s))ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)L_t(x)dx. \tag{1}$$

The processes W and Y are defined on the same probability space and are assumed to be independent. We consider *the random process in Brownian scenery* $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ defined as

$$\Delta_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x)\, dW(x).$$

The process Δ is itself a self-similar process of index h with stationary increments, with

$$h := 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

This process can be seen as a mixture of Gaussian processes, but it is neither Gaussian nor Markovian. It appeared independently in the mathematical literature (see [14],[3]), and in the physics literature (see [18]) where it was originally introduced to model diffusion in layered white-noise velocity field. Note indeed that Δ_t can formally be written as $\int_0^t \dot{W}(Y(s))ds$. Thus, it describes the horizontal motion of a tracer particle in a (1+1)-dimensional medium, where the motion of the particle along the vertical direction y is described by the process Y, while along the horizontal direction, the particle is driven by the white noise velocity field $\dot{W}(y)$, that depends only on the vertical coordinate y. The process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ provides a simple example of anomalous super-diffusion, being for instance of order $t^{3/4}$ when Y is a Brownian motion. In

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05; 60F17; 60G15; 60G18; 60K37.

Key words and phrases. Random scenery, First passage time, One-sided barrier problem, One-sided exit problem, Survival exponent, Persistence.

This research was supported by the french ANR project MEMEMO2 2010 BLAN 0125.

this paper, we are interested in the *persistence probability* of the process Δ, i.e. the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\mathcal{F}(T) := \mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Delta_t \leq 1\Big]$$

as $T \to +\infty$. The study of the one-sided supremum process of random processes is a classical issue in probability. One usually gets polynomial decay of the persistence probability: $\mathcal{F}(T) \asymp T^{-\theta}$ for a non-negative θ, often called *persistence exponent*, or *survival exponent*. Classical examples, where this exponent can be computed, include random walks or Lévy processes, and we refer the reader to the recent survey papers [1, 5] for an account of models where the persistence exponent is known. However, there are relevant physical situations where this exponent remains unknown (see for instance [16, 5]). The persistence exponent of the Brownian motion in Brownian scenery was studied by Redner [20, 21], and Majumdar [17]. Based on physical arguments, numerical simulations and analogy with fractional Brownian motion, Redner and Majumdar conjectured the value of the persistence exponent. In [7], their conjecture was proved up to logarithmic factors, when the process Y is a stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1, 2]$. The proof of [7] depends heavily on the increments independence of the process Y and the question raises if it is possible to compute the persistence exponent without it. The aim of this paper is to answer this question, and to provide assumptions on Y allowing to compute the persistence exponent of the random process in Brownian scenery.

(H1): There exists a continuous version $\{L_t(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$ of the local time of Y;
(H2): Y is a self-similar process of index $\gamma \in (0, 2)$;
(H3): For every $T > 0$, $\{Y(T - t) - Y(T); t \in [0, T]\}$ has the same distribution as either $\{Y(t); t \in [0, T]\}$ or $\{-Y(t); t \in [0, T]\}$;
(H4): Let $V_1 := \int L_1^2(x)\, dx$ be the self-intersection local time of Y. There exist a real number $\alpha > 1$, and positive constants C, c such that for any $x \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \geq x\right] \leq C \exp(-cx^{\alpha})\,;$$

(H5): There exist a real number $\beta > 0$, and positive constants C, c such that for any $x > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \leq x\right] \leq C \exp(-cx^{-\beta})\,.$$

Our main result is the following one.

Theorem 1. *Assume (H1) to (H5) hold. There exists a constant $c > 0$, such that for large enough T ,*

$$T^{-\gamma/2}(\ln T)^{-c} \leq \mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Delta_t \leq 1\Big] \leq T^{-\gamma/2}(\ln T)^{+c}. \tag{2}$$

Our main achievement in this paper is to remove the increments independence assumption on $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$, which was crucial in [7]. Another interesting issue would be to obtain the persistence exponent for $\{W(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ being a stable Lévy process. This seems delicate, our proof relying on the fact that the process $\{\Delta(t); t \geq 0\}$ is a Gaussian process, conditionally to $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides three examples of processes satisfying (H1) to (H5), including the stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1, 2]$, and thus generalizing the result of [7]. Section 3 states some useful properties of the process Δ. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Some examples

2.1. **A sufficient condition for (H5).** In this section we assume that (H1) holds and we provide a sufficient condition on $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$ allowing to check (H5). Let us first compare V_1 with $\max_{s\in[0,1]} |Y(s)|$. By noting that

$$1 = \int_{\{|x|\leq \max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|\}} L_1(x)dx \leq V_1^{1/2}\sqrt{2\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|},$$

we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|} \leq V_1. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that (H1) holds and that there exist positive constants C and c, and a real number $\beta > 0$ such that for all $x > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)| \geq x\right] \leq C\exp\left(-cx^{\beta}\right).$$

Then (H5) holds true.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of (3) that for all $x > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \leq x\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2x}\right] \leq C\exp(-c2^{-\beta}x^{-\beta}).$$

□

2.2. **Stable Lévy processes.** A process $Y = \{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$ is a strictly stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1, 2]$ if it is a process with stationary and independent increments, such that $Y(0) = 0$ and for any $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iuY(t)}] = \exp\Big\{-c|u|^{\delta}t\Big(1 + i\zeta\ \mathrm{sgn}(u)\tan(\pi\delta/2)\Big)\Big\}, \tag{4}$$

where $\zeta \in [-1, 1]$, and c is a positive scale parameter.

Lemma 2.2. *The stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1, 2]$ satisfies (H1) to (H5) with $\gamma = \frac{1}{\delta}$, $\alpha = \delta$, and $\beta = \frac{\delta}{2\delta-1}$.*

Proof. It is immediate that the Lévy process is self-similar of index $1/\delta$ and that for every $T > 0$,

$$\{Y(T - t) - Y(T); t \in [0, T]\} \overset{(d)}{=} \{-Y(t); t \in [0, T]\}$$

then (H2) and (H3) are satisfied.
A continuous version of the local time exists, since $\delta > 1$ (this was proved by Boylan [4]), so it satisfies (H1). Moreover, Corollary 5.6 in [15] states that there exist positive constants C and ξ s.t. for every $x > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 \geq x] \leq Ce^{-\xi x^{\delta}},$$

which gives (H4). To prove (H5) we let $V_t := \int L_t^2(x)\,dx$ be the self-intersection local time of Y up to time t for $t \geq 0$, and we show that there exists $l > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_t \leq 1\right] \leq e^{-lt} \text{ for } t \text{ large enough.} \tag{5}$$

As $\{V_t; t \geq 0\}$ is self-similar of index $\frac{2\delta-1}{\delta}$, (5) implies that for every positive ε small enough,

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 \leq \varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}[V_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{\delta}{2\delta-1}}} \leq 1] \leq e^{-l\varepsilon^{-\frac{\delta}{2\delta-1}}},$$

which gives (H5). To prove (5) we show that the function defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$f(t) = \log \mathbb{P}[V_t \leq 1]$$

is subadditive. Let us fix s, t in $[0, +\infty)$. We consider the process $Y^{(s)} := \{Y_u^{(s)}; u \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$Y_u^{(s)} = Y(u+s) - Y(s),$$

its local time $\{L_u^{(s)}(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, u \geq 0\}$, and its self-intersection local time $\{V_u^{(s)}; u \geq 0\}$. Note that

$$L_{t+s}(x) = L_s(x) + L_t^{(s)}(x - Y(s)).$$

Hence

$$V_{t+s} \geq V_s + V_t^{(s)}.$$

The process Y being a Lévy process, $V_t^{(s)}$ and V_s are independent and $V_t^{(s)}$ has the same law as V_t. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}[V_{t+s} \leq 1] \leq \mathbb{P}[V_s \leq 1; V_t^{(s)} \leq 1] = \mathbb{P}[V_s \leq 1]\mathbb{P}[V_t \leq 1].$$

Thus f is subadditive, which implies that $\frac{f(t)}{t}$ converges, as $t \to +\infty$, towards a limit $-l = \inf_{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$ (see [12]). It remains to show that l is strictly positive. As $-l$ is less than or equal to $f(1)$, it is enough to show that $\mathbb{P}[V_1 > 1] > 0$. But thanks to (3) and Proposition 10.3 of [10],

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 > 1] \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} |Y(s)| < \frac{1}{2}\right] > 0,$$

and this concludes the proof of (5). □

2.3. **Fractional Brownian motion.** The fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ is the real centered Gaussian process $\{B_H(t); t \geq 0\}$ with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[B_H(t)B_H(s)] = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}).$$

Lemma 2.3. *The fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ satisfies (H1) to (H5) with $\gamma = H$, $\alpha = 1/H$, $\beta = 2$.*

Proof. It follows readily from the definition that B_H is self-similar with index H and satisfies $(H3)$. The existence of a jointly continuous version of its local time process for $H \in (0,1)$ is a classical fact (see for instance paragraph 22 in [11]). Theorem 1 of [13] asserts that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda V_1^{1/H}}\right] < \infty, \tag{6}$$

which implies (H4) with $\alpha = 1/H$. Finally, (H5) follows from Lemma 2.1 and Fernique's estimation ([9], Theorem 4.1.1): there exists $c_F > 0$ such that for any $x \geq \sqrt{5}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{s\in[0,1]} |B_H(s)| \geq c_F x] \leq 10 \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} dv. \tag{7}$$

This implies (H5) with $\beta = 2$. □

2.4. **Iterated Brownian motion.** Let $\{B(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a two-sided real standard Brownian motion, and let $\left\{\tilde{B}(t); t \geq 0\right\}$ be a real standard Brownian motion, independent of $\{B(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The iterated Brownian motion is the process $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$Y(t) = B(\tilde{B}(t))\,.$$

Lemma 2.4. *The iterated Brownian motion satisfies (H1) to (H5) with $\gamma = 1/4$, and $\alpha = \beta = 4/3$.*

Proof. The self-similarity of the iterated Brownian motion is a direct consequence of the self-similarity and independence of both Brownian motions. The assertion $(H3)$ follows once again from the independence of B and $\tilde{B}$, the increments stationarity of B and the fact that $\tilde{B}$ satisfies $(H3)$.

The existence and joint continuity of the local times of iterated Brownian motion were proved in [6, 8]. Let us prove (H5). For $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} |Y(s)| \geq x\right] &\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} \left|\tilde{B}(s)\right| \geq x^{2/3}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{|u|\leq x^{2/3}} |B(u)| \geq x\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} \left|\tilde{B}(s)\right| \geq x^{2/3}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{u\in[0,x^{2/3}]} |B(u)| \geq x\right] \\
&\leq 2\,\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} |B(s)| \geq x^{2/3}\right] \\
&\leq 4\,\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} B(s) \geq x^{2/3}\right] = 4\,\mathbb{P}\left[|B(1)| \geq x^{2/3}\right]\,. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (H5) with $\beta = 4/3$ using Lemma 2.1.

To prove (H4), we use the uniform norm on the local times of iterated Brownian motion proved in Lemma 4 of [22]: there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for any even integer n,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[(L_1(x))^n\right] \leq K^n (n!)^{3/4}\,. \qquad (9)$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x)\,dx = 1$, Hölder's inequality implies that for any integer n,

$$V_1^n = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x)^2\,dx\right)^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x)^{n+1}\,dx = \int_{-\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|}^{\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|} L_1(x)^{n+1}\,dx\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[V_1^n\right] &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[L_1(x)^{n+1}\mathbf{1}_{|x|\leq\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|}\right]\,dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[L_1(x)^{2(n+1)}\right]}\sqrt{\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} |Y(s)| \geq |x|\right]}\,dx \\
&\leq K^{n+1}\left((2n+2)!\right)^{3/8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]} |Y(s)| \geq |x|\right]}\,dx\,, \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (9) in the last inequality. By (8), the integral in (10) is finite. We deduce then from Stirling's formula that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any integer n,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_1^n\right] \leq C^n n^{\frac{3}{4}n}\,.$$

Hence, for any $x > 0$, and any integer n,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \geq x\right] \leq x^{-n} C^n n^{\frac{3}{4}n}\,.$$

Optimizing over the values of n leads to take $n = \left\lceil e^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{4/3}\right\rceil$, so that $x^{-n}C^n n^{\frac{3}{4}n} \simeq \exp(-\frac{3}{4e}\left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{4/3})$ for large x. This proves that the iterated Brownian motion satisfies (H4) with $\alpha = 4/3$.

□

3. Auxiliary statements on $(\Delta_t; t \geq 0)$

For a certain class of stochastic processes $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ (to be specified below), Molchan [19] proved that the asymptotic behavior of

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_t \leq 1\Big]$$

is related to the quantity

$$I(T) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{X_t}\, dt\right)^{-1}\right].$$

We refer to [2] where the relationship between both quantities is clearly explained as well as the heuristics. Moreover $I(T)$ can be estimated by the following result.

Theorem 3.1 (Statement 1, [19])**.** *Let $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ be a continuous process, self-similar with index $h > 0$ such that for every $T > 0$,*

$$\{X_{T-t} - X_T; t \in [0,T]\} \overset{(d)}{=} \{X_t; t \in [0,T]\}.$$

Moreover assume that for every $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\theta \max_{t\in[0,1]} |X_t|\Big)\Big] < +\infty.$$

Then, as $T \to +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{X_t}\, dt\right)^{-1}\right] = hT^{-(1-h)}\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]} X_t\Big] + o(1)\Big).$$

Before applying this result to our random process Δ we first establish some useful facts concerning it. First we show that the process satisfies

$$\{\Delta_{T-t} - \Delta_T; t \in [0,T]\} \overset{(d)}{=} \{\Delta_t; t \in [0,T]\}$$

and has stationary increments (Lemma 3.2). Next we provide an exponential upper bound for the tail of Δ_1, from which we deduce the Kolmogorov-Centsov continuity criterion (Lemma 3.3). Finally we show that the process satisfies a maximal inequality (Lemma 3.4).

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $(H1)$ and $(H3)$. The process Δ satisfies for every $T > 0$,*

$$\{\Delta_{T-t} - \Delta_T; t \in [0,T]\} \overset{(d)}{=} \{\Delta_t; t \in [0,T]\}. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, it has stationary increments, i.e. for every $s > 0$, $\{\Delta_{t+s} - \Delta_s; t \geq 0\} \overset{(d)}{=} \{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$.

Proof. Conditionally to $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$, the process $\{\Delta_{T-t} - \Delta_T; t \in [0,T]\}$ is a centered Gaussian process with (random) covariance function:

$$C_T(s,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L_T(x) - L_{T-t}(x))\,(L_T(x) - L_{T-s}(x))\, dx\,.$$

Note that $L_T(x) - L_{T-t}(x) = L_t^{(T)}(x - Y(T))$, where $\{L_t^{(T)}(x); t \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the local time process of $Y_t^{(T)} := Y(T-t) - Y(T)$. Hence, $C_T(s,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t^{(T)}(x) L_s^{(T)}(x)\, dx$. Now, if $Y^{(T)}$ has

the same distribution as Y (respectively $-Y$), then $\{L_t^{(T)}(x); t \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ has the same law as $\{L_t(x); t \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (respectively $\{L_t(-x); t \in [0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$). We deduce then that in both cases, $\{C_T(s,t); s,t \in [0,T]\}$ is distributed as the conditional covariance of $\{\Delta_t; t \in [0,T]\}$ with respect to Y. Hence,

$$\{\Delta_{T-t} - \Delta_T; t \in [0,T]\} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{\Delta_t; t \in [0,T]\}.$$

Concerning the increments stationarity, fix $s > 0$, and $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$. Let $T > t_n + s$ be fixed.

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta_{t_1+s} - \Delta_s, \cdots, \Delta_{t_n+s} - \Delta_s)& \\ \stackrel{(d)}{=} (\Delta_{T-t_1-s} - \Delta_{T-s}, \cdots, \Delta_{T-t_n-s} - \Delta_{T-s})& \text{ by time reversal at time } T, \\ \stackrel{(d)}{=} (\Delta_{t_1}, \cdots, \Delta_{t_n})& \text{ by time reversal at time } T-s.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Assume (H1) and (H4) hold. There exist $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \geq x] \leq C \exp(-\delta x^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}). \tag{12}$$

Then, for every $a \geq 1$,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\Delta_t - \Delta_s|^a)^{1/a} = C(a)|t-s|^h, \ t,s \geq 0 \tag{13}$$

where $C(a) \leq ca^\nu$ with $\nu := \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$. In particular the process satisfies the Kolmogorov-Centsov criterion of continuity.

Proof. Conditionally to the process Y, the random variable Δ_1 is a real centered Gaussian variable with variance V_1. For each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_u^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$

Then for $x > 0$ and $\theta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \geq x] = \int_0^{+\infty} \Phi(xz^{-1/2}) \mathbb{P}_{V_1}(dz) &= \int_0^{x^\theta} \Phi(xz^{-1/2}) \mathbb{P}_{V_1}(dz) + \int_{x^\theta}^{+\infty} \Phi(xz^{-1/2}) \mathbb{P}_{V_1}(dz) \\ &\leq \Phi(x^{1-\frac{\theta}{2}}) + \mathbb{P}[V_1 \geq x^\theta],\end{aligned}$$

where we used that the function $z \mapsto \Phi(xz^{-1/2})$ is non decreasing with values in $[0,1]$. Using (H4) and the classical inequality

$$\Phi(u) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u} e^{-u^2/2} \text{ for every } u > 0,$$

and choosing $\theta = \frac{2}{1+\alpha}$, we obtain that for x large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \geq x] \leq \frac{x^{-\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} + Ce^{-cx^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \leq Ce^{-\delta x^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}},$$

with $\delta = \min(c, 1/2)$. This implies (12) for every $x > 0$.

Let us prove (13). The increments of the process Δ being stationary (see Lemma (3.2)), by self-similarity, we have for every $t, s \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\Delta_t - \Delta_s|^a] \ = \ |t-s|^{ha} \, \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^a].$$

Now, using (12) and the symmetry of Δ_1,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^a] &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}[|\Delta_1| \geq x^{1/a}]\, dx \leq 2\int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \geq x^{1/a}]\, dx \\ &\leq C\int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-\delta x^{\frac{2\alpha}{a(1+\alpha)}})\, dx = Ca\delta^{-\frac{a(1+\alpha)}{2\alpha}}\Gamma\left(\frac{a(1+\alpha)}{2\alpha}\right). \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from Stirling's formula that $C(a) = \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^a]^{1/a} \leq Ca^{(1+\alpha)/(2\alpha)}$.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Assume (H1) and (H4) hold. Let $T, x \geq 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{s\in[0,T]} \Delta_s \geq x|Y] \leq 2\mathbb{P}[\Delta_T \geq x|Y], \qquad (15)$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{s\in[0,T]} \Delta_s \geq x] \leq 2\mathbb{P}[\Delta_T \geq x]. \qquad (16)$$

Proof. Conditionally to the process Y, the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process on $\mathbb{R}$ with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_s\Delta_t|Y\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_s(x)L_t(x)dx.$$

Moreover for any $t \geq s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Delta_t^2|Y\Big] - \mathbb{E}\Big[\Delta_s^2|Y\Big] - \mathbb{E}\Big[(\Delta_t-\Delta_s)^2|Y\Big] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L_t^2(x) - L_s^2(x) - (L_t(x)-L_s(x))^2)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2L_s(x)(L_t(x)-L_s(x))dx \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence applying Proposition 2.2 in [15], we deduce the inequality (15). By integrating we obtain the maximal inequality (16). □

We are now in position to use Theorem 3.1 and to state the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume (H1) to (H4) hold. As $T \to +\infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t}\, dt\right)^{-1}\right] = \Big(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}\Big)\, T^{-\gamma/2}\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]} \Delta_t\Big] + o(1)\Big).$$

Proof. It follows easily from assumption (H2), that the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is self-similar with index $h := 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}$. From Lemma 3.2, it satisfies (11). From (13) and Kolmogorov-Centsov continuity criterion, we can assume that it is continuous. Hence, to apply Theorem 3.1, it is enough to prove that for every $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\theta \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Delta_t|\Big)\Big] < +\infty. \qquad (17)$$

Let $\theta > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\theta \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Delta_t|\Big)\Big] &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big[\exp\left(\theta \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Delta_t|\right) \geq \lambda\Big] d\lambda \\ &\leq 2 + \int_2^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Delta_t| \geq \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{\theta}\Big] d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Since the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is symmetric and satisfies the maximal inequality (16) of Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]}|\Delta_t| \geq \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{\theta}\Big] &\leq 2\,\mathbb{P}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]}\Delta_t \geq \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{\theta}\Big] \\ &\leq 4\,\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \geq (\ln\lambda)/\theta].\end{aligned}$$

We apply the inequality (12) of Lemma 3.3, and since the function $\lambda \to \exp(-\delta((\ln\lambda)/\theta)^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)})$ is integrable at infinity for any $\alpha > 1$, the assertion (17) follows. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove upper and lower bounds on the persistence probability $\mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T)}\Delta(t) \leq 1\Big]$.

In the case of fractional Brownian motion $\{B_H(t); t \geq 0\}$, Aurzada's proof of the lower bound (see [2]), rests on both following arguments: the fractional Brownian motion satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, and it satisfies the equality (valid for a large enough)

$$(\mathbb{E}|B_H(t) - B_H(s)|^a)^{1/a} = C(a)|t-s|^H,\ t,s \geq 0 \tag{18}$$

with $C(a) \leq ca^\nu$, for some c and $\nu > 0$. We showed in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 that these conditions are also satisfied by our random process Δ. Therefore the proof of Aurzada [2] allows us to derive the lower bound in Theorem 1.

As in [19] and [2], the main idea in the proof of the upper bound in (2), is to bound $I(T)$ from below by restricting the expectation to a well-chosen set of paths.

Conditionally to Y, the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process such that for every $0 \leq t < s$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_t\Delta_s|Y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x)L_s(x)\,dx \geq 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_t(\Delta_s - \Delta_t)|Y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x)(L_s(x) - L_t(x))\,dx \geq 0,$$

since $t \to L_t(x)$ is a.s. increasing for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows then from Slepian's lemma, that for every $0 \leq u < v < w$ and every real numbers a, b,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_t \leq a,\ \sup_{t\in[v,w]}\Delta_t \leq b\Big|Y\right] \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_t \leq a\Big|Y\right]\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[v,w]}\Delta_t \leq b\Big|Y\right] \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_t \leq a,\ \sup_{t\in[v,w]}(\Delta_t - \Delta_v) \leq b\Big|Y\right] \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_t \leq a\Big|Y\right]\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[v,w]}(\Delta_t - \Delta_v) \leq b\Big|Y\right]. \tag{20}$$

Let $a_T = (\ln T)^a$, where $a > 0$ will be chosen later; let $\alpha_T := \frac{\ln T}{1+\ln T}$, which belongs to $(0,1)$ and set $\beta_T = \sqrt{V_{a_T}}$ where $V_{a_T} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} L^2_{a_T}(x)dx$. Let us define the random function

$$\phi(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < a_T\,, \\ 1-\beta_T & \text{for } a_T \leq t \leq T\,, \end{cases}$$

which is Y-measurable. Clearly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t}\,dt\right)^{-\alpha_T}\Big|Y\right] \geq \left(\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)}\,dt\right)^{-\alpha_T}\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t\in[0,T], \Delta_t \leq \phi(t)\Big|Y\Big].$$

By Slepian's lemma (see (19)), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t\in[0,T],\Delta_t\le\phi(t)\Big|Y\Big]\ge\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t\in[0,a_T],\Delta_t\le 1\Big|Y\Big]\,\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t\in[a_T,T],\Delta_t\le 1-\beta_T\Big|Y\Big].$$

Remark that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t\in[a_T,T],\Delta_t\le 1-\beta_T\Big|Y\Big] &\ge \mathbb{P}\Big[\Delta_{a_T}\le-\beta_T;\forall t\in[a_T,T],\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T}\le 1\Big|Y\Big]\\ &\ge \mathbb{P}\Big[\Delta_{a_T}\le-\beta_T\Big|Y\Big]\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t\in[a_T,T],\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T}\le 1\Big|Y\Big],\end{aligned}$$

by Slepian's lemma (see (20)). Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_{a_T}\le-\beta_T|Y]=\Phi(\beta_T V_{a_T}^{-1/2})=\Phi(1).$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that when T goes to infinity,

$$\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)}\,dt=O(a_T+Te^{-\beta_T}).$$

In the following C is a constant whose value may change but does not depend on T. Then we can write that for T large enough

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t}dt\right)^{-\alpha_T}\Big|Y\right]\ge C(a_T+Te^{-\beta_T})^{-\alpha_T}\,\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t\le 1\big|Y\big]\,\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[a_T,T]}(\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T})\le 1\big|Y\big]. \tag{21}$$

Next we use the maximal inequality (15) of Lemma 3.4 to write

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t\le 1\big|Y\big]=1-\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t\ge 1\big|Y\big]\ge 1-2\mathbb{P}\big[\Delta_{a_T}\ge 1\big|Y\big]=\mathbb{P}[|Z|\le V_{a_T}^{-1/2}|Y]$$

where Z is a Gaussian variable $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ independent of Y, from which we deduce that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t\le 1\big|Y\big]\ge c\min(V_{a_T}^{-1/2},1). \tag{22}$$

Injecting (22) into (21) we get that for T large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[a_T,T]}(\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T})\le 1\big|Y\big]\le C\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t}dt\right)^{-\alpha_T}\Big|Y\right](a_T+Te^{-V_{a_T}^{1/2}})^{\alpha_T}\,\max(V_{a_T}^{1/2},1).$$

By integrating and using successively Hölder's inequality with $p_T=\frac{1}{\alpha_T}$, $\frac{1}{q_T}+\frac{1}{p_T}=1$, Jensen's inequality, the inequality $(x+y)^{\alpha_T}\le x^{\alpha_T}+y^{\alpha_T}$ for $x,y>0$, and Proposition 3.5, we get that for T large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[a_T,T]}(\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T})\le 1\big]\\ &\quad\le C\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t}dt\right)^{-1}\right]^{1/p_T}\mathbb{E}\left[\Big(a_T^{\alpha_T}\max(V_{a_T}^{1/2},1)+T^{\alpha_T}e^{-\alpha_T V_{a_T}^{1/2}}\max(V_{a_T}^{1/2},1)\Big)^{q_T}\right]^{1/q_T}\\ &\quad\le CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2p_T}}\|f_1+f_2\|_{q_T}\le CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2p_T}}(\|f_1\|_{q_T}+\|f_2\|_{q_T}),\end{aligned}$$

with

$$f_1=a_T^{\alpha_T}\max(V_{a_T}^{1/2},1),\quad f_2=T^{\alpha_T}e^{-\alpha_T V_{a_T}^{1/2}}\max(V_{a_T}^{1/2},1).$$

The lefthand term is greater than the quantity we want to bound from above, since by stationarity,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[a_T,T]}(\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T})\le 1\big]=\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T-a_T]}\Delta_t\le 1\big]\ge\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Delta_t\le 1\big].$$

Concerning the righthand term, we recall that $\alpha_T = \frac{1}{p_T} = \frac{\ln T}{1+\ln T}$ and $\frac{1}{q_T} = 1 - \alpha_T = \frac{1}{1+\ln T}$. Hence, when T goes to infinity, $T^{-\frac{\gamma}{2p_T}} \leq CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Delta_t \leq 1\big] \leq CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\|f_1\|_{q_T} + \|f_2\|_{q_T}). \tag{23}$$

It remains to prove that $\|f_1\|_{q_T}$ and $\|f_2\|_{q_T}$ are bounded by logarithmic terms.

$$\|f_1\|_{q_T} = a_T^{\alpha_T}\mathbb{E}\left[V_{a_T}^{q_T/2}\mathbf{1}_{V_{a_T}\geq 1} + \mathbf{1}_{V_{a_T}\leq 1}\right]^{1/q_T} \leq a_T^{\alpha_T}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[V_{a_T}^{q_T}\right]^{1/(2q_T)} + 1\right).$$

By (H2), $V_{a_T} \overset{\mathcal{L}}{=} a_T^{2-\gamma}V_1$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_{a_T}^{q_T}\right]^{1/(2q_T)} = a_T^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[V_1^{q_T}\right]^{1/(2q_T)}.$$

As in (14), it follows from (H4) that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_1^m] \leq Cc^{-m/\alpha}\frac{m}{\alpha}\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right) \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that using Stirling's formula, it is easy to show that for T large enough

$$\mathbb{E}[V_1^{q_T}]^{1/2q_T} \leq C(\ln T)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

We conclude that for T large enough

$$\|f_1\|_{q_T} \leq C(\ln T)^{a(2-\frac{\gamma}{2})+\frac{1}{2\alpha}}. \tag{24}$$

Let us now turn our attention to $\|f_2\|_{q_T}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_2\|_{q_T} &\leq T^{\alpha_T}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-2q_T\alpha_T V_{a_T}^{1/2}}\right]^{1/2q_T}\mathbb{E}\left[\max(V_{a_T},1)^{q_T}\right]^{1/2q_T}\\ &\leq CT(\ln T)^{a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})+\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-2q_T\alpha_T a_T^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\sqrt{V_1}}\right]^{1/2q_T}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us note $\lambda_T = 2q_T\alpha_T a_T^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} = 2(\ln T)^{1+a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})}$. Then using (H5),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda_T V_1^{1/2}}\right] &\leq \int_0^{+\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \leq \frac{u^2}{\lambda_T^2}\right]e^{-u}\,du\\ &\leq C\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-c\frac{\lambda_T^{2\beta}}{u^{2\beta}}}e^{-u}\,du,\end{aligned}$$

for some constants c and C. We perform the change of variable $u = \lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}v$ in the preceding integral. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda_T V_1^{1/2}}\right] &\leq C\lambda_T^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}(v+cv^{-2\beta})}dv\\ &\leq C\lambda_T^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)}\int_1^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}v}\,dv + C\lambda_T^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)}\int_0^1 e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}cv^{-2\beta}}\,dv\\ &\leq Ce^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}} + Ce^{-c\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}}\\ &\leq Ce^{-c\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}},\end{aligned}$$

for some other constants c and C. This leads to

$$\|f_2\|_{q_T} \leq CT(\ln T)^{a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})+\frac{1}{2\alpha}}e^{-c(\ln T)^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}(1+a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}))-1}}.$$

We choose a such that $a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}) > 1+\frac{1}{\beta}$. Then $Te^{-c(\ln T)^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}(1+a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}))-1}}$ remains bounded, and when T goes to infinity, we get

$$\|f_2\|_{q_T} \leq C(\ln T)^c, \tag{25}$$

for some constant $c > 1+\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{1}{2\alpha}$. From (23), (24) and (25) we deduce that for T large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Delta_t \leq 1\big] \leq CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\ln T)^c,$$

with $c > \frac{\beta+1}{\beta}\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}+\frac{1}{2\alpha}$.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Yueyun Hu for stimulating discussions.

References

[1] Aurzada, F.; Simon, T. *Persistence probabilities & exponents.* Lévy matters IV, Springer, to appear. Math arXiv:1203.6554.

[2] Aurzada, F. *On the one-sided exit problem for fractional Brownian motion.* Electron. Commun. Probab., 16:392–404, 2011.

[3] Borodin, A. N. *A limit theorem for sums of independent random variables defined on a recurrent random walk.* (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 246(4):786–787, 1979.

[4] Boylan, E. S. *Local times for a class of Markoff processes.* Illinois J. Math., 8:19–39, 1964.

[5] Bray, A.; Majumdar, S.; Schehr, G. *Persistence and First-Passage Properties in Non-equilibirum Systems* Advances in Physics 62 (3): 225–361, 2013.

[6] Burdzy, K.; Khoshnevisan, D.; *The level sets of iterated Brownian motion.* Séminaire de Probabilités, XXIX, 231–236, Lecture Notes in Math., 1613, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

[7] Castell, F.; Guillotin-Plantard, N.; Pène, F.; Schapira, Br. *On the one-sided exit problem for stable processes in random scenery.* Electron. Commun. Probab. 18(33):1–7, 2013.

[8] Csáki, E.; Csörgő, M.; Földes, A; Révész, P. *The local time of iterated Brownian motion.* J. Theoret. Prob. 9(3):717–743, 1996.

[9] Fernique, X. *Régularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes.* In P.L. Hennequin, editor, Ecole d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour IV–1974, volume 480 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 1-96. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1975.

[10] Fristedt, B. *Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary, independent increments.* In Advances in probability and related topics, Vol. 3, pages 241–396. Dekker, New York, 1974.

[11] Geman, D.; Horowitz, J. *Occupation densities.* Ann. Probab. 8:1–67, 1980.

[12] Hammersley, J.M. *Generalization of the fundamental theorem on subadditive functions* Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 58(2):235–238, 1962.

[13] Hu, Y.; Nualart D.; Song, J. *Integral representation of renormalized self-intersection local times.* J. Funct. Anal., 255(9):2507–2532, 2008.

[14] Kesten, H.; Spitzer, F. *A limit theorem related to a new class of self-similar processes.* Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 50:5–25, 1979.

[15] Khoshnevisan, D., Lewis, T. M. *A law of the iterated logarithm for stable processes in random scenery.* Stochastic Process. Appli., 74(1):89–121, 1998.

[16] Majumdar, S. *Persistence in nonequilibrium systems.* Current Science 77 (3):370-375, 1999.

[17] Majumdar, S. *Persistence of a particle in the Matheron - de Marsily velocity field.* Phys. Rev. E 68, 050101(R), 2003.

[18] Matheron, G.; de Marsily G. *Is transport in porous media always diffusive? A counterexample.* Water Resources Res. 16:901–917, 1980.

[19] Molchan, G.M. *Maximum of fractional Brownian motion: probabilities of small values.* Comm. Math. Phys., 205(1):97–111, 1999.

[20] Redner, S. *Invited Symposium Contribution: Superdiffusion in Random Velocity Fields.* Proceedings of the Bar-Ilan Conference in Condensed-Matter Physics, Physica A 168, 551–560, 1990.

[21] Redner, S. *Survival Probability in a Random Velocity Field.* Phys. Rev., E56, 4967–4970, 1997.

[22] Xiao, Y.; *Local times and related properties of multidimensional iterated Brownian motion.* J. Theoret. Probab. 11(2):383–408, 1998.

Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, Institut de Mathématiques de Marseille, UMR 7373, 13 453 Marseille. France.

E-mail address: fabienne.castell@univ-amu.fr

Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, 43, Boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne, France.

E-mail address: nadine.guillotin@univ-lyon1.fr

LMBA, Université de Bretagne Sud, Campus de Tohannic, BP 573, 56017 Vannes, France.

E-mail address: watbled@univ-ubs.fr